

RECEIVED. 07/03/2024



PECENED. 07/03/2024

		<b>^</b>
		Construction Dust Risk Assessment
		Proposed Plasterboard Manufacturing Plant
		Gorteens, Co. Kilkenny
		Contents
1	СО	NSTRUCTION DUST RISK ASSESSMENT
	1.1	Step 2A Define Potential Dust Emission Magnitude1
	1.2	Dust Emission Magnitude for the Proposed Development
2	SE	NSITVITY OF THE AREA
	2.1	Sensitivity Of Receptors for Dust Soiling3
	2.2	Define the Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling4
	2.2.1 Develo	Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Associated with the Proposed pment4
	2.3	Sensitivity to PM <sub>10</sub> Exposure6
	2.3.1	Sensitivity of people to health effects of PM <sub>10</sub> 6
	2.3.2 Develo	Sensitivity of human receptors to PM <sub>10</sub> exposure from the proposed pment7
3	DE	FINE THE RISK OF IMPACT9
	3.1	Defining the Risk Matrix for Construction Activities9
	3.2	Defining the Risk Matrix for Track out Activities9
	3.3	Defining the Risk Matrix for Earthworks Activities9
	3.4	Defining the Risk Matrix for Demolition Activities
4 D	RIS EVELC	SKOFIMPACTASSOCIATEDWITHTHEPROPSOEDOPMENT
	4.1	Potential Dust Soiling Impacts11
	4.2	Potential PM <sub>10</sub> Exposure Impacts12
5	RE	FERENCES13

## TABLES

Table 1-1: Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Proper	ty 1
Table 1-2: Dust Emissions for Activities associated with the Proposed Develop	ment2
Table 2-1: General Principle for Defining the Sensitivity of a Receptors to Dust	Soiling 3
Table 2-2: Defining the Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People	e and Property 4

Table 2-3: Sensitivity of the area to dust soiling associated with the Construction Phase of the Proposed Development
Table 2-4:General Principles for Defining the Sensitivity of Receptors to PM <sub>10</sub> Exposure6
Table 2-5: Sensitivity criteria for the health effects of PM <sub>10</sub> 6
Table 2-6: Sensitivity of human receptors to PM <sub>10</sub> exposure from the Proposed Development
Table 3-1: Risk Matrix- Construction Activity
Table 3-2: Risk Matrix- Track out Activities9
Table 3-3: Risk Matrix- Earthworks Activities    9
Table 3-4: Risk Matrix- Demolition Activities         10
Table 4-1: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Track out Activities
Table 4-2: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Construction Activities 11
Table 4-3: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Earthworks Activities11
Table 4-4: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Demolition Activities
Table 4-5: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to $PM_{10}$ Exposure from Track out Activities 12
Table 4-6:Sensitivity of Human Receptors to $PM_{10}$ Exposure from Construction Activities 12
Table 4-7: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to PM <sub>10</sub> Exposure from Earthworks Activities 12

P.C.

#### CONSTRUCTION DUST RISK ASSESSMENT 1

The following appendix outlines the construction dust risk assessment matrix employed by the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) [1]. The following steps were carried out relating ~ 103/201× to the Construction Phase of the Proposed Development.

#### 1.1 **Step 2A Define Potential Dust Emission Magnitude**

## Table 1-1: Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Dust Emission Magnitude:	Demolition: examples of works associated with each emission magnitude	Earthworks: examples of works associated with each emission magnitude	Construction: examples of works associated with each emission magnitude	Track out: examples of works associated with each emission magnitude
Large	Total building volume >50,000 m <sup>3</sup> , potentially dusty construction material (e.g. concrete), onsite crushing and screening, demolition activities >20 m above ground level.	Total site area >10,000 m <sup>2</sup> , potentially dusty soil type (e.g. clay, which will be prone to suspension when dry due to small particle size), >10 heavy earth-moving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds >8 m in height, total material moved	Total building volume >100, 000 m <sup>3</sup> on-site site concrete batching, sandblasting.	>50 HDV (>3.5t) outward movements <sup>1</sup> in any one day <sup>2</sup> , potentially dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved road length >100 m.
		>100,000 tonnes.		
Medium	Total building volume $20,000 \text{ m}^3 - 50,000 \text{ m}^{3}$ , potentially dusty construction material, demolition activities 10-20 m above ground level.	Total site area 2,500 $m^2 - 10,000 m^2$ , moderately dusty soil type (e.g. silt), 5-	Total building volume 25,000 m <sup>3</sup> – 100,000 m <sup>3</sup> , potentially dusty	10-50 HDV (>3.5t) outward movements <sup>1</sup> in any one day <sup>2</sup> , moderately dusty surface material (e.g. high clay content), unpaved
		10 heavy earthmoving vehicles active at any one time, formation of bunds 4 m - 8 m in height, total material moved 20,000 tonnes – 100,000 tonnes.	construction material (e.g. concrete), on- site concrete batching.	road length 50 m – 100 m.
	Total building volume	Total site area	Total building volume <25,000	<10 HDV (>3.5t) outward
Small	<20,000 m <sup>3</sup> construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal, cladding or timber), demolition activities <10m above	<2,500 m <sup>2</sup> , soil type with large grain size (e.g. sand), <5 heavy earth-moving vehicles active at any one time,	m <sup>3</sup> construction material with low potential for dust release (e.g. metal, cladding or timber.)	movements' in any one day, surface material with low potential for dust release, unpaved road length <50m

Dust Emission Magnitude:	Demolition: examples of works associated with each	Earthworks: examples of works associated with each	Construction: examples of works associated with each	Track out: examples of works associated with each
	ground, demolition during wetter months.	formation of bunds <4m in height, total material moved <20,000 tonnes, earthworks during wetter months.		X

\*Notes:

1 Denotes a vehicle movement as a one-way journey i.e. A to B and excludes the return journey.

2 Denotes HDV movements during a construction project vary over its lifetime, and the number of movements is the maximum not the average.

## 1.2 Dust Emission Magnitude for the Proposed Development

Table 1-2: Dust Emiss	ions for Activities a	ssociated with the Pr	oposed Development

Activities	Proposed Development- Activities	Dust Emission Magnitude			
Earthworks	<ul> <li>Total site area ca.11.57ha;</li> <li>Twelve (12No.) plant will be active during the Construction Phase including a crane, excavators and loading shovels;</li> <li>Ca.9,00m<sup>3</sup> of topsoil will be removed from site area and proposed access road;</li> <li>Total volume of material to be excavated is ca. 25,000m<sup>3</sup> (ca.45,000 tonnes) including the site area and the proposed access road; and,</li> <li>2m high bunds will be erected.</li> </ul>	Medium			
Track out	<ul> <li>e Estimated twenty (20HGV.) outward movement is in any one day; and,</li> <li>A newly constructed hardstanding access route will be constructed for vehicles entering and leaving the site.</li> </ul>				
Construction	<ul> <li>Construction will be comprised of using concrete which will be delivered to the site, steel buildings and associated drainage; and,</li> <li>Total building volume will be ca.300,000m<sup>3</sup>.</li> </ul>	Large			
Demolition	<ul> <li>One small farmhouse will be demolished onsite;</li> <li>The primary material will be concrete and metal which are potentially dusty materials; and,</li> <li>Total building volume to be demolished is ca.&lt;20,000m<sup>3</sup>.</li> </ul>				

#### SENSITVITY OF THE AREA 2

#### 2.1 Sensitivity Of Receptors for Dust Soiling

RECEIVED When determining the sensitivity of people to dust soiling, the IAQM presents general guidance's and examples of high, medium, and low sensitivity receptors. The general principles under consideration are outlined in Table 2-1 below.

## Table 2-1: General Principle for Defining the Sensitivity of a Receptors to Dust Soiling

Sensitivity Rating to Dust Soiling	General Principles Associated with Sensitivity Rating			
Low	<ul> <li>The enjoyment of amenity would not reasonably be expected;</li> <li>Property would not reasonably be expected to be diminished in appearance, aesthetics, or value by soiling; or,</li> <li>There is transient exposure, where the people or property would reasonably be expected to be present for limited periods of time as part of the normal pattern of use of the land.</li> </ul>			
Medium	<ul> <li>Users would expect to enjoy a reasonable level of amenity but would not reasonably expect to enjoy the same level of amenity as in their home;</li> <li>The appearance, aesthetics or value of the property could be diminished by soiling; or,</li> <li>The people or property would not reasonably be expected to be present continuously or at least regularly for extended periods, as part of the normal pattern of use of land.</li> </ul>			
High	<ul> <li>Users can reasonably expect enjoyment of a high level of amenity;</li> <li>The appearance, aesthetics or value of the property would be diminished by soiling; or,</li> <li>The people or property would reasonably be expected to be present continuously or at least regularly for extended periods, as part of the normal pattern of use of the land.</li> </ul>			

## 2.2 Define the Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling

## Table 2-2: Defining the Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Effects on People and Property

Receptor	Number of		Distance from the source (m)			
Sensitivity	Receptors	<20	<50	<100	<3502	
	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	
High	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	
	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	
Medium >1		Medium	Low	Low	Low	
Low	<1	Low	Low	Low	Low	

## 2.2.1 Sensitivity of the Area to Dust Soiling Associated with the Proposed Development

Table 2-3	: Sensiti	vity of the a	area to dust	soiling ass	ociated wi	ith the Co	nstruction	Phase of th	ie
Proposed	Develo	pment							

Receptor ID	Receptor Sensitivity	Distance to Nearest applicable boundary (m)	Orientation to nearest Route	Number of receptors expected to be impacted	Sensitivity Rating for Dust Soiling	Reason for Receptor Sensitivity
SR01	High	ca.86m (Site Boundary)	Southeast	10-100	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR02	High	ca.36m (Site Boundary)	Southwest	10-100	Medium	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Medium sensitivity rating.
SR03	High	ca.35m (Site Boundary)	Southwest	1-10	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR04	High	ca.144m (Site Boundary)	Southwest	10-100	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR05	High	ca.21m (Site Boundary)	West	1-10	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR06	High	ca.46m (Site Boundary)	West	1-10	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated

						$\hat{\mathbf{A}}$
						with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR07	High	ca.31m (Site Boundary)	Northwest	10-100	Medium	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Medium sensitivity rating.
SR08	Low	ca.43m (Site Boundary)	Northeast	<1	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR09	Low	ca.308m (Site Boundary)	West	<1	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.
SR10	Low	ca.217m (Site Boundary)	Southwest	<1	Low	Distance and number of receptors associated with the receptors justified a Low sensitivity rating.

## 2.3 Sensitivity to PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure



Table 2-4 outlines the criteria to assess the sensitivity of people to the health effects of  $PM_{10}$ .In short, the criteria are based on whether a receptor is likely to be exposed to elevated concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  over 24 hours and utilises the background concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  as part of the assessment. Table 2-5 below shows the general conditions when considering the sensitivity of the receptors to  $PM_{10}$  exposure. Table 2-6 below shows the sensitivity of the receptors to  $PM_{10}$  exposure from the Proposed Development.

Table 2 4 Conoral Bringi	nlag for Dofining	the Sensitivity of	f Booontoro to B	
Table 2-4. General Filler	pies for Demining	y the Sensitivity of	i Neceptors to Fi	W10 LAPOSULE

Sensitivity Rating to Human Health Impacts	General Principles Associated with Sensitivity Rating
Low	<ul> <li>Locations where human exposure is transient</li> </ul>
Medium	<ul> <li>Locations where the people exposed are workers, and exposure is over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM<sub>10</sub> (in the case of the 24hr objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more per day)</li> </ul>
High	<ul> <li>Locations where members of the public are exposed over a time period relevant to the air quality objective for PM<sub>10</sub> (in the case of the 24hr objectives, a relevant location would be one where individuals may be exposed for eight hours or more per day)</li> </ul>

## 2.3.1 Sensitivity of people to health effects of PM<sub>10</sub>

Receptor	Annual Mean	al Mean No. of Distance from Source (m)					
Sensitivity	Concentration	Receptors	<20	>50	<100	<200	>350
	>32	>100	High	High	High	Medium	Low
	μg/m³ (>18 μg/m³ in	10-100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
	Scotland)	1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (16-18 μg/m <sup>3</sup> in	>100	High	High	Medium	Low	Low
High		10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
riigri	Coolandy	1-10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		>100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
(	24-28 µg/m <sup>3</sup> (14-16 µg/m <sup>3</sup> in Scotland)	10-100	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	<24 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>100	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low

Table 2-5: Sensitivity criteria for the health effects of PM<sub>10</sub>

						<u> </u>	
Receptor	Annual Mean	No. of		Distan	ce from Sour	ce (m)	
Sensitivity	Concentration	Receptors	<20	>50	<100	<200 📎	>350
	(<14 µg/m³ in Scotland)	10-100	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
		1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	>32 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>10	High	Medium	Low	Low	Low
	Scotland)	1-10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
	28-32 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>10	Medium	Low	Low	Low	Low
Modium	Scotland)	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
wealum	24-28 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	>10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
	Scotland)	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
<24 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (<14 μg/m <sup>3</sup> in Scotland)	>10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	
	Scotland)	1-10	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	-	≥1	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low

# 2.3.2 Sensitivity of human receptors to $PM_{10}$ exposure from the proposed Development

Table 2-6: Sensitivity	of human rece	ptors to PM <sub>10</sub> ex	posure from the P	roposed Development
------------------------	---------------	------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------

Receptor ID	Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration	Distance to Nearest applicable boundary (m)	Number of receptors expected to be impacted	Receptor Sensitivity Rating for PM <sub>10</sub>	Description of receptor
SR01	High	12.3µg/m³	ca.86m (Site Boundary)	10-100	Low	Residential Property
SR02	High	12.3µg/m³	ca.36m (Site Boundary)	10-100	Low	Residential Property
SR03	High	12.3µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ca.35m (Site Boundary)	1-10	Low	Residential Property
SR04	High	12.3µg/m³	ca.144m (Site Boundary)	1-10	Low	Residential Property
SR05	High	12.3µg/m³	ca.21m (Site Boundary)	1-10	Low	Residential Property
SR06	High	12.3µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ca.46m (Site Boundary)	1-10	Low	Residential Property
SR07	High	12.3µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ca.31m (Site Boundary)	10-100	Low	Residential Property

				P.		
Receptor ID	Receptor Sensitivity	Annual Mean PM10 Concentration	Distance to Nearest applicable boundary (m)	Number of receptors expected to be impacted	Receptor Sensitivity Rating for PM <sub>10</sub>	Description of receptor
SR08	Low	12.3µg/m <sup>3</sup>	ca.43m (Site Boundary)	≥1	Low	Industrial Facility
SR09	Low	12.3µg/m³	ca.308m (Site Boundary)	≥1	Low	Industrial Facility
SR10	Low	12.3µg/m³	ca.217m (Site Boundary)	≥1	Low	Industrial Facility

#### RECEIVED. CARDE **DEFINE THE RISK OF IMPACT** 3 3.1 Defining the Risk Matrix for Construction Activities Table 3-1: Risk Matrix- Construction Activity **Dust Emission Magnitude Receptor Sensitivity** Large Medium High **High Risk** Medium Risk Low Risk Medium **Medium Risk** Medium Risk Low Risk Low Risk Low Low Risk Negligible

## 3.2 Defining the Risk Matrix for Track out Activities

## Table 3-2: Risk Matrix- Track out Activities

	Dust Emission Magnitude		
Receptor Sensitivity	Large	Medium	Small
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
Medium	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible

## 3.3 Defining the Risk Matrix for Earthworks Activities

## Table 3-3: Risk Matrix- Earthworks Activities

	Dust Emission Magnitude				
Receptor Sensitivity	Large	Medium	Small		
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk		
Medium	Medium Risk	Medium risk	Low-Risk		
Low	Low Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		

## 3.4 Defining the Risk Matrix for Demolition Activities

#### Table 3-4: Risk Matrix- Demolition Activities

3.4 Defining the Risk Matrix for Demolition Activities					
Decenter Considivity		×0.			
Receptor Sensitivity	Large	Medium	Small		
High	High Risk	Medium Risk	Medium Risk		
Medium	High Risk	Medium risk	Low-Risk		
Low	Medium Risk	Low Risk	Negligible		

#### **RISK OF IMPACT ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROPSOED** 4 DEVELOPMENT

## 4.1 Potential Dust Soiling Impacts

DEVELOPMENT						
4.1 Poten	tial Dust Soilin	`O. `O <sub>7</sub>				
Table 4-1: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Track out Activities						
Sensitivit	ty of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Track out	228		
м	edium	Medium	Low Risk			

## Table 4-2: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Construction Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Construction
Medium	Large	Medium Risk

### Table 4-3: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Earthworks Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Earthworks		
Medium	Medium	Medium Risk		

## Table 4-4: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to Dust Soiling from Demolition Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Demolition		
Medium	Small	Low Risk		

## 4.2 Potential PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure Impacts

#### Table 4-5: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure from Track out Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Track out	25
Low	Medium	Low Risk	,×

#### Table 4-6:Sensitivity of Human Receptors to PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure from Construction Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Construction
Low	Large	Low Risk

## Table 4-7: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure from Earthworks Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Earthworks		
Low	Medium	Low risk		

#### Table 4-7: Sensitivity of Human Receptors to PM<sub>10</sub> Exposure from Demolition Activities

Sensitivity of the Area	Dust Emission Magnitude Category	Risk of Impact from Demolition		
Low	Small	Negligible		

 Gorteens, Co. Kilkenny

 Construction Dust Risk Assessment

 5
 REFERENCES

 [1] IAQM , "Guidance on the Assessment of Dust from Demolition and Construction," 2016.



PECENED. 07/03/2028

Table A. 2	010 Madel Outputs				PA		
2019		Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	AOS	AOS%	
R01	1.01	Annual	12.3	13.31	40	<b>33.26%</b>	
R02	0.71	Annual	12.3	13.01	40	32.53%	
R03	0.75	Annual	12.3	13.05	40	32.63%	
R04	0.46	Annual	12.3	12.76	40	31.91%	5
R05	0.33	Annual	12.3	12.63	40	31.58%	3
R06	0.30	Annual	12.3	12.60	40	31.51%	50
R07	0.18	Annual	12.3	12.48	40	31.20%	NA NA
R09	0.50	Annual	12.3	12.80	40	32.01%	<u>^</u>
R10	0.48	Annual	12.3	12.78	40	31.94%	
R01	3.30	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.95	50	27.90%	
R02	2.68	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.64	50	27.28%	
R03	2.95	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.78	50	27.55%	
R04	1.44	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.02	50	26.04%	
R05	0.87	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.74	50	25.47%	
R06	1.03	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.81	50	25.63%	
R07	0.55	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.57	50	25.15%	
R09	1.88	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.24	50	26.48%	
R10	1.48	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.04	50	26.08%	

					PA		
Table B: 2	020 Model Outputs				$\sim$		_
2020	Concentration	Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	AQS 🔨	AQS%	
R01	1.20	Annual	12.3	13.50	40	33.75%	
R02	1.01	Annual	12.3	13.31	40	33.28%	
R03	0.94	Annual	12.3	13.24	40	33.10%	ļ
R04	0.28	Annual	12.3	12.58	40	31.44% 🗸	6
R05	0.18	Annual	12.3	12.48	40	31.21%	3
R06	0.13	Annual	12.3	12.43	40	31.09%	50
R07	0.13	Annual	12.3	12.43	40	31.08%	
R09	0.48	Annual	12.3	12.78	40	31.96%	
R10	0.46	Annual	12.3	12.76	40	31.90%	
R01	4.19	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.39	50	28.79%	
R02	3.83	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.21	50	28.43%	
R03	3.52	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.06	50	28.12%	
R04	0.74	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.67	50	25.34%	
R05	0.38	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.49	50	24.98%	
R06	0.26	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.43	50	24.86%	
R07	0.31	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.45	50	24.91%	
R09	1.57	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.09	50	26.17%	
R10	1.54	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.07	50	26.14%	

Table (· 2)	021 Model Outputs				PA		
2021	Concentration	Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	AQS	AQS%	
R01	1.34	Annual	12.3	13.64	40	34.09%	
R02	1.01	Annual	12.3	13.31	40	33.28%	
R03	0.96	Annual	12.3	13.26	40	33.16%	
R04	0.38	Annual	12.3	12.68	40	31.71%	6
R05	0.28	Annual	12.3	12.58	40	31.44%	3
R06	0.19	Annual	12.3	12.49	40	31.23%	50
R07	0.17	Annual	12.3	12.47	40	31.18%	r v
R09	0.60	Annual	12.3	12.90	40	32.25%	<u>^</u>
R10	0.57	Annual	12.3	12.87	40	32.18%	
R01	5.09	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.85	50	29.69%	
R02	4.32	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.46	50	28.92%	
R03	3.89	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.25	50	28.49%	
R04	1.33	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.97	50	25.93%	
R05	0.73	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.66	50	25.33%	
R06	0.53	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.56	50	25.13%	
R07	0.33	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.47	50	24.93%	
R09	2.15	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.37	50	26.75%	
R10	1.85	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.22	50	26.45%	

					PA		
2022		Time Period & Percentile	Background	PFC	AOS	AOS%	
R01	1.14	Annual	12.3	13.44	40	23.59%	
R02	0.87	Annual	12.3	13.17	40	32.91%	
R03	0.83	Annual	12.3	13.13	40	32.81%_	
R04	0.32	Annual	12.3	12.62	40	31.55%	6
R05	0.22	Annual	12.3	12.52	40	31.30%	3
R06	0.20	Annual	12.3	12.50	40	31.24%	50
R07	0.20	Annual	12.3	12.50	40	31.26%	~~~
R09	0.61	Annual	12.3	12.91	40	32.27%	<u>^</u>
R10	0.56	Annual	12.3	12.86	40	32.15%	
R01	4.08	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.34	50	28.68%	
R02	3.83	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.21	50	28.43%	
R03	3.37	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.98	50	27.97%	
R04	0.89	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.74	50	25.49%	
R05	0.49	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.55	50	25.09%	
R06	0.35	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.48	50	24.95%	
R07	0.60	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.60	50	25.20%	
R09	1.87	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.23	50	26.47%	
R10	1.87	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.24	50	26.47%	

<b>T</b> . I. I. <b>F</b> . <b>20</b>					PA		
2023	Concentration	Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	405	۵۵۵%	1
R01	1.17	Annual	12.3	13.47	40	33.67%	
R02	0.95	Annual	12.3	13.25	40	33.1.3%	
R03	0.87	Annual	12.3	13.17	40	32.93%	1
R04	0.27	Annual	12.3	12.57	40	31.42%	
R05	0.21	Annual	12.3	12.51	40	31.28%	6
R06	0.24	Annual	12.3	12.54	40	31.35%	502
R07	0.22	Annual	12.3	12.52	40	31.29%	LA
R09	0.56	Annual	12.3	12.86	40	32.16%	
R10	0.45	Annual	12.3	12.75	40	31.87%	
R01	4.49	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.54	50	29.09%	
R02	4.01	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.30	50	28.61%	
R03	3.67	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.13	50	28.27%	
R04	0.65	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.62	50	25.25%	
R05	0.63	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.61	50	25.23%	
R06	0.86	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.73	50	25.46%	
R07	0.77	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.68	50	25.37%	
R09	1.99	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.30	50	26.59%	
R10	1.39	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.99	50	25.99%	

							•
Table F:	Cumulative Impac	t Assessemtn Model Outputs					$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}$
2021	Concentration	Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	AQS	AQS%	C.
R01	5.59	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	15.10	50	30.19%	
R02	4.83	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.72	50	29.43%	
R03	4.45	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	14.52	50	29.05%	<b>`</b> Ø.
R04	1.71	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.16	50	26.31%	· 07
R05	1.08	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.84	50	25.68%	10-
R06	0.97	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.79	50	25.57%	<sup>3</sup>
R07	0.88	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	12.74	50	25.48%	50-
R08	2.36	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.48	50	26.96%	ر ج
R09	2.15	24Hr(Percentile%) PM10	12.3	13.38	50	26.75%	-
2022	Concentration	Time Period & Percentile	Background	PEC	AQS	AQS%	
R01	1.37	Annual	12.3	13.67	40	34.18%	
R02	1.03	Annual	12.3	13.33	40	33.32%	
R03	0.99	Annual	12.3	13.29	40	33.22%	
R04	0.43	Annual	12.3	12.73	40	31.82%	
R05	0.31	Annual	12.3	12.61	40	31.52%	
R06	0.29	Annual	12.3	12.59	40	31.48%	
R07	0.29	Annual	12.3	12.59	40	31.48%	
R09	0.74	Annual	12.3	13.04	40	32.60%	
R10	0.75	Annual	12.3	13.05	40	32.62%	

Table F: Cumulative Impact Assessemtn Model Outputs

Predicted Environmental Concentration PEC: